MEDIA USED:
\& CENTRE

| Criteria | Strong (3) | Good (2) | Minimal (1) | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Structure and Organization | Presentation followed a clear and logical progression (introduction, body, and conclusion). Slides were utilized to enhance main points. | Presentation mostly followed a logical progression, but some slides were out of sort. | Presentation seemed disorganized and main concepts weren't effectively explained. |  |
| Content | Presenters interpreted information on slides for audience. Summary of their project highlighted their building process and key learnings | Some explanations were confusing, and/or summary was incomplete. | Interpretation missing and weak with their summary. |  |
| Presence | Both presenters spoke directly to audience, appeared comfortable, and natural. | One of the members spoke to the audience, though frequently read from slides. | Read directly from slides. Presenters do not appear to be prepared. |  |
| Impact | Main message was clear and presenters were enthusiastic and confident in their material. Audience appeared engaged. | Presenters didn’t appear fully confident in the material. Audience seemed sometimes bored/unengaged | Presenters seemed uncomfortable with material. Audience appeared bored and/or confused. |  |
| Voice and Time | Both members use a loud voice and presentation is within the allotted time (4-6 minutes). | One of the members use a loud voice and presentation is within the allotted time (4-6 Minutes). | Presentation is not within the allotted time (4-6 minutes). |  |
| Audience Review | Strong (10) | Good (8) | Minimal (6) | AVG |
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